### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

IGAMI, Hiroyuki
ITEC INTERNATIONAL PATENT FIBM
Uchisaiwalcho Dai Bldg.
3-3, Uchisaiwai-cho 1-chorocci IIIRNATIONAL PATENTALIONAL PATENTIONAL PATE

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

- 19.09.2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

FNTYA023WO

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No. PCT/JP2004/010276

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

13.07.2004

30.07.2003

Applicant

TOYOTA JIDOSHA KABUSHIKI KAISHA et al.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Jülich, G

Tel. +31 70 340-3935



Form PCT/PEA/416 (January 2004)

# **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FNTYA023WO			FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/JP2004/010276			International filing date 13.07.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 30.07.2003		
B6	mational Patent Class OL11/18, B60K6/0	· · ·	ational classification and	PC			
	YOTA JIDOSHA	KABUSHIKI KA	AISHA et al.				
1.			liminary examination rensmitted to the applica		this International Preliminary Examining e 36.		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
Э.	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:						
	a. D sent to th	e applicant and to	the International Bure	au) a total of shee	ts, as follows:		
	and/o	s of the description in the sheets containing in the sheets containing in the struct in the sheet in the shee	ng rectifications author	ings which have bee ized by this Authority	on amended and are the basis of this report y (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the		
	beyo	s which supersed nd the disclosure lemental Box.	de earlier sheets, but with in the international app	hich this Authority c olication as filed, as	onsiders contain an amendment that goes indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
	sequence	listing and/or tab	ureau only) a total of (i les related thereto, in d Listing (see Section 86	computer readable for	mber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a orm only, as indicated in the Supplemental ive Instructions).		
4.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the opin	nion		·		
	Box No. II	Priority					
	☐ Box No. III	•	ent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	invention	-			
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; cita	ment under Article 35( ations and explanations	<ol><li>with regard to now supporting such state</li></ol>	relty, inventive step or industrial atement		
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docume	nts cited				
	☐ Box No. VII		in the international app	lication			
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	tions on the internation	nal application			
Date of submission of the demand				Date of completion of	of this report		
20.05.2005				19.09.2005			
Name and malling address of the international preliminary examining authority:				Authorized Officer	And State Contract of the Cont		
_	NL-2280 H Tel. +31 70	Patent Office - P.B. V Rijswijk - Pays B ) 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 0 340 - 3016	as	Bufacchi, B	70 240 2420		
	1 60. 7517	C 540 - 5510		Telephone No. +31	/U 340-3429		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/010276

	Box No. I Basis of the report				
1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in whic filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	which is the language of a to international search (und publication of the interna	slations from the original language into the following language, ranslation furnished for the purposes of: ler Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) tional application (under Rule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)			
2.	the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which ving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this e not annexed to this report):				
	Description, Pages				
	1-43	as originally filed			
	Claims, Numbers				
	1-23	as originally filed			
	Drawings, Sheets				
	1/10-10/10	as originally filed			
	☐ a sequence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
3.	. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
4.	☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).  ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
	* If item 4 applies, so	me or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded "			

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/010276

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-23

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

2-5, 7-10, 15, 17, 18, 22-23

No: Claims

1,6,11-14,16,19-21

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-23

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: EP-A-1 318 285 (TOYOTA MOTOR CO LTD) 11 June 2003

D2: EP 0 829 386 A (TOYOTA MOTOR CO LTD) 18 March 1998

D3: US 2002/094908 A1 (HARADA CHIAKI ET AL) 18 July 2002

D4: EP 0 909 675 A (TOYOTA MOTOR CO LTD) 21 April 1999

D5: EP 1 245 422 A (VISTEON GLOBAL TECH INC) 2 October 2002

2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 14 and 21 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Claims 14 contains all the features of claim 1 (it covers an automobile including the apparatus of claim 1) and is therefore a dependent claim. Claim 21 lists only the method steps also included in claim 1. The reasoning below therefore holds for all these claims.

Document D4 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

A power output apparatus that outputs power to a drive shaft, said power output apparatus comprising;

- a) an internal combustion engine;
- b) an electric power-mechanical power input-output unit that is linked with an output shaft of said internal combustion engine and with the drive shaft to maintain or vary a driving state of said internal combustion engine and to output at least part of power from said internal combustion engine to the drive shaft through inputs and outputs of electric power and mechanical power (Fig. 1);
- c) a motor (26, 28) that is capable of inputting and outputting power from and to the drive shaft;
- d) a secondary battery (50) that is capable of supplying and receiving electric power to

- and from said electric power-mechanical power input-output unit and said motor;
- e) an input restriction setting module that sets an input restriction of said secondary battery (claim 1);
- f) a charge-discharge electric power measurement module that measures a charge-discharge electric power used to charge said secondary battery or obtained by discharging said secondary battery (claim 3; Figs 3-5);
- g) a power demand setting module that sets a power demand required to the drive shaft, in response to an operator's manipulation (44);
- h) a driving state varying mode changeover module that selects a driving state varying mode to vary the driving state of said internal combustion engine (col. 6, lines 1-6), based on the charge-discharge electric power measured by said charge-discharge electric power measurement module and the input restriction set by said input restriction setting module (Para, 0018, 0019).

[Note: D4 clearly discloses that the variation of the driving state of the internal combustion engine (col. 6, lines 1-6) is based on the measured "charge-discharge electric power" (col.6, line 23-25). Since the "input restriction" of the secondary battery (see claims 1 and 3) determines the charging current generated by the generators, which are driven by the engine, this will affect the engine driving state too. So, since the engine driving state varies according to both the "charge-discharge electric power" and the "input restriction", no difference can be seen between the disclosure of D4, and this feature of claim 1].

i) a controller that controls said internal combustion engine (10), said electric power-mechanical power input-output unit and said motor to ensure a variation in driving state of said internal combustion engine in the selected driving state varying mode and output of a power corresponding to the setting of the power demand to the drive shaft (para 0018, 0019).

The subject-matter of claims 1, 14 and 21 differs from this known apparatus and method only by the inclusion of feature "I" below:

the driving state varying mode changeover module selects a driving state varying mode to vary the driving state of said internal combustion engine in response to setting of an abruptly decreasing power demand by said power demand setting

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/010276

module.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as providing safe and effective charging of a secondary battery when the power demand is abruptly decreased.

D4 discusses the general problem with which the application is concerned, namely that the battery needs to be charged and discharged according to certain limitations under all driving conditions, including decreasing power demand or braking. While teaching that overcharging must be avoided, it does not disclose the more specific problem of limiting overcharging in response to abruptly decreasing power demand.

This problem is, however, well known to a person skilled in the art. See for example D1, Para 0077 (which discloses both the problem and claimed solution); D2, claim 22 and D3, para. 0105.

Document D5 discloses the solution embodied in feature "I" of claims 1, 14 and 21, namely that the driving state varying mode changeover module selects a driving state varying mode to vary the driving state of said internal combustion engine in response to setting of an abruptly decreasing power demand by said power demand setting module - see eg. D5, claims 23-26. See also D1, para 0077.

Since both the problem and claimed solution are known, the subject matter of these claims is obvious in the light of D4 combined with D5 or D1, as well as in the light of D1, D2 or D3, each taken individually.

[Note: Since no special technical effect resulting from the combination of features listed above is apparent (eg. features h) and "I" appear independent of each other, and no special technical effect appears to result from their combination), no inventive step can be associated with the combination of feature "I" with any of features a) to i) above].

3. Dependent claims 6, 11-13, 16, 19, 20 do not contain any features which, in

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/010276

combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

These features are all disclosed or suggested by the available prior art.

4. The combination of the features of dependent claims 2-5, 7-10, 15, 17, 18, 22-23 are neither known from nor rendered obvious by the available prior art, since it does not suggest the special engine control steps embodied in these claims.

### Re Item VII

### Certain defects in the international application

Claim 14 comprises all the features of claim 1 and is therefore not appropriately formulated as a claim dependent on the latter (Rule 6.4 PCT).

The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).